

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/719,214		11/20/2003	Behnam Moradi	303.591US2	303.591US2 3196	
21186	7590	02/09/2005		EXAMINER		
SCHWEGM P.O. BOX 29	-	JNDBERG, WOE	ZIMMERMA	ZIMMERMAN, GLENN		
MINNEAPO	MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	

2879

DATE MAILED: 02/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/719,214	MORADI ET AL.	-				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Glenn Zimmerman	2879					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 27 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A		•					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A	•	in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later. In				
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Approval was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)) has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time per AMENDMENTS	1.37 must be filed within two month CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	s of the date of filing	the Notice of				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);					
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be 		ducina or simplifyina	the issues for				
appeal; and/or	to form to appear by materially to	ducing or simplifying	110 133003 101				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a							
NOTE: <u>The newly added limitation in claim 18 would require further search and/or consideration. In the previous final action of November 24, 2004 claims 10 and 26 where indicated allowable subject matter. The examiner notes that claim</u>							
<u>18 does not contain all of the limitations of claim 1</u> <u>one emitter"</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		•					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s).		•	-				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>26</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>10</u> .	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9 and 11-25</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> . <u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u>							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nt before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered and necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ils to provide a 1).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)					
13. Other:	, ,						
		VIP PATE PRIMARY EXA					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 9-04)